



"I may be able to speak the languages of men and even of angels, but if I have no $agap\acute{e}$, my speech is no more than a noisy gong or a clanging bell.

"I may have the gift of inspired preaching; I may have all knowledge and understand all secrets; I may have all the faith needed to move mountains—but if I have no *agapé*, I am nothing.

"I may give away everything I have, and even give up my body to be burned—but if I have no $agap\acute{e}$, this does me no good." (Paul in 1 Corinthians 13:1-3. In the original Greek, the word for love is $agap\acute{e}$. Good News Bible.)

"Dear Friends, ... agapé comes from God. Whoever loves [with agapé] is a child of God and knows God. Whoever does not love [with agapé] does not know God, for God is agapé. And God showed His agapé for us by sending His only Son into the world, so that we might have life through Him. This is what agapé is: it is not that we loved God, but that He loved us and sent His Son to be the means by which our sins are forgiven....

"God is agapé, and whoever lives in agapé lives in union with God and God lives in union with him. Agapé is made perfect in us in order that we may have courage in the Judgment Day. ... There is no fear in agapé; perfect agapé drives out all fear. So then, agapé has not been made perfect in anyone who is afraid, because fear has to do with punishment.

"We love [with agapé] because God first loved us [with agapé]. (John, in his First Letter, 4:7-19.)

"I pray that you may have your roots and foundation in *agapé* Yes, may you come to know His *agapé* —although it can never be fully known—and so be completely filled with the very nature of God." (Paul, Ephesians 3:17-19.)



THE WORD THAT TURNED THE WORLD UPSIDE DOWN

The world was once powerfully shaken by a little band of men from Palestine who carried news embodied in one rather obscure word. Their terrified enemies in Thessalonica (a city located in modern-day Greece) confessed the impact of its proclamation: "These men who have turned the world upside down have come here also" (Acts 17:6, RSV).* The dynamite-laden messengers: Christ's apostles, especially Paul and his colleague John.

The word that performed this mighty feat was one little known in the ancient Greco-Roman world—a Greek term, $agap\acute{e}$ (a ga'pa). It meant "love"; but it came to carry a spiritual wallop that overwhelmed people's minds, catalyzing humanity into two camps, one for and the other against the idea. Those that were for it were transformed overnight into recklessly joyous followers of Jesus, ready to lose property, go to prison, or even to die a tortured death for Him. Those catalyzed against it as quickly became cruel, bloodthirsty persecutors of those who saw light in the new concept of love. None who heard the news about $agap\acute{e}$ could ever be neutral.

The mysterious explosive in this spiritual bomb was a radically different idea of love from that that had been dreamed of by the world's philosophers or ethics teachers, a new invention that took friend and foe alike by surprise. It wasn't that the ancients had no idea of love; they talked about it plenty. In fact, the Greeks had three or four words for love (our modern languages usually have only one). But the kind of love that came to be expressed in the word <code>agapé</code> mercilessly exposed all other ideas of love as either nonlove or antilove.

All of a sudden mankind came to realize that what they'd been calling love was actually veneered selfishness. The human psyche was stripped naked by the new revelation. If you welcomed the spiritual revolution, you got clothed with *agapé* yourself; if it made you angry, having your robes of supposed piety ripped off turned you into a raving enemy of the new faith. And no one could turn the clock back, for *agapé* was an idea for which the fullness of the time had come.

When John took his pen to write his famous equation "God is love" (1 John 4:8), he had to choose between the several Greek words describing love. The common, everyday one—*eros*—packed a powerful punch on its own. Something mysterious and powerful, *eros* was the source of all life. It

swept, like water from a broken dam, over all obstacles of human will and wisdom, a tide of emotion common to all humanity. If a mother loved her child, her love was *eros*, noble and pure. Likewise also the dependent love of children for their parents and the common love of friends for each other. Further, the mutual love of man and woman was a profound mystery to be reckoned with.

Is God *eros?* asked the ancient pagans. Yes, answered their philosophers, including the great Plato, because *eros* is stronger than human will. It produces the miracle of babies. It makes families and friends. And it dwells in everyone by nature. Therefore it must be the spark of divinity.

For the ancients love was pretty much what it is for us today: the "sweet mystery of life," the elixir that makes an otherwise intolerable existence possible to endure. Plato hoped to transform the world by a kind of love that he considered "heavenly *eros*." Words derived from *eros* today have an exclusively sexual meaning, but Plato tried to get the world to climb out of that trap by a spiritually uplifting idea, something noble and inspiring. It was based on climbing up higher, getting out of the mire of mere physical sensuality, being attracted to a greater good for the soul.

But John could never bring himself to write that God is *eros*. He astounded the thinkers of his day by saying, God is *agapé*. And between those two ideas of love there stretches a vast gulf wider than the east is from the west.

The apostle's idea was revolutionary in at least three ways:

1. If one loves with *agapé*, he has "boldness in the day of judgment" (verse 17). Without it, one cringes in terror when confronted with ultimate judgment; with it, he walks fearlessly into God's presence past all His holy angels, utterly unashamed and confident.

"There is no fear in love [agapé], but perfect love [agapé] casts out fear. For fear has to do with punishment, and he who fears is not perfected in love [agapé]" (verse 18, RSV). Fear with its concomitant anxiety is the substratum of human existence in all ages. Fear too deep to understand can make us sick, gnawing at the vitals of the soul until one's physical organs weaken in their resistance to disease. Years may go by before we can see or feel the disease, but at last the weakest organ of the body breaks down, and doctors must go to work to try to repair what agapé would have prevented.

Every sublime moral and ethical goal of humanity is nothing without agapé says Paul in his famous love chapter of 1 Corinthians 13 (RSV). One can "speak in the tongues of men and of angels," "have prophetic powers, and understand all mysteries and all knowledge," have "faith, so as to remove mountains," "give away all I have, and ... deliver my body to be burned," and yet not have the all important ingredient: agapé. He ends up "nothing." And agapé has a phenomenal quality of enduring "all things," for agapé "never ends."

How did *agapé* differ so much from the common idea of love? How could the apostles' idea possibly be such a threat to Plato's noble concept? The answer is found in several clear-cut contrasts between the two ideas.

A. Ordinary human love is dependent on the beauty or goodness of its object. We naturally choose for friends those who are nice to us, who please us. We fall in love with our sexual opposite who is beautiful, happy, intelligent, and attractive, and turn away from one who is ugly, mean, ignorant, or offensive.

In contrast, agapé is not awakened by beauty or goodness in its object. It stands alone, sovereign, independent. The ancients had a story that illustrated their most sublime idea of love. Admetus was a noble, handsome young man with all the personal qualities of excellence. He fell sick with a disease that the oracle of the gods pronounced would be fatal unless someone could be found who would die in his place. His friends went from one to another, inquiring, "Would you be willing to die for Admetus?" All agreed that he was a wonderful young man, but "Sorry," they said, "we couldn't die for him." His parents were asked, and they said, "Oh, we love our son, but sorry, we couldn't die for him." Finally his friends asked the beautiful girl who loved him, Alcestis. "Yes," she said, "because he is such a good man and because the world needs him so, I am willing to die for him!"

Crowed the philosophers: "This is love—willing to die for a good man!" Imagine their shock when the apostles came along and said that that wasn't it at all." One will hardly die for a righteous man—though perhaps for a good man one will dare even to die. But God shows his love [agapé] for us in that while we were yet sinners Christ died for us," "while we were enemies" (Romans 5:7, 8, 10 RSV).

A message like that either captured your soul or turned you into an implacable enemy!

B. Natural human love rests on a sense of need. It feels poor and empty of itself and requires an object to enrich its own life. A husband loves his wife because he needs her, and a wife loves her husband for the same reason. Two friends love each other because they need each other. Each feels empty and alone without his counterpart.

Infinitely wealthy of itself, agapé feels no need. The apostles said that the reason God loves us is not because He needs us, but because —well, He is agapé. "You know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though he was rich, yet for you sake he became poor, so that by his poverty you might become rich" (2 Corinthians 8:9, RSV). To this day we are staggered by the idea of a love that "seeketh not her own" (1 Corinthians 13:5). Even churches seem drawn almost irresistibly to representing God's love as a seeking-its-own thing, a motivation inspired by a divine acquisitive instinct. God saw a hidden value in us, it is assumed; and He was simply making a good bargain when He bought us.

We come to resemble what we worship, so multitudes profess to worship such a God because they too are seeking a good bargain. Their religion is the soul of acquisitiveness—what they want to acquire is heaven and its rewards— and a self-centered motive is what keeps them going. When agapé breaks through into this egocentric milieu, the reaction is pretty much what happened when it broke upon the ancient world.

C. Natural human love rests on a sense of value. Many Africans still follow the ancient bride-price system, which faithfully mirrors the more subtle basis of all our other cultures as well. The amount of the bride price to be paid is proportionate to the expense of education the girl's parent has invested in her. A few cows suffice for one who can barely scrawl her name; astronomical dowries are demanded for girls who have been to Oxford or Cambridge. We also pigeon-hole one another. Few treat the garbage man as courteously or patronizingly as we do the mayor or governor. If, like water seeking its own level, "ye love them which love you, what reward have ye? Do not even the publicans the same? And if ye salute your brethren only, what do ye more than others?" asks Jesus (Matthew 5:46, 47). "Men will praise thee, when thou doest well to thyself" (Psalm 49:18).

In contrast, agapé is refreshingly different. Rather than being dependent on the value of its object, it creates value in its object.

Suppose I have a rough stone in my hand. I picked it up in a field. If I try to sell it, no one would give me even a nickel for it. This is not because a stone is inherently bad, but because it is so common it is worthless.

Now suppose that as I hold this rough stone in my arms, I could love it as a mother loves a baby. And suppose that my love could work like alchemy and transform it into a piece of solid gold. My fortune would be made.

This is an illustration of what *agapé* does to us. Of ourselves we are worth nothing other than the dubious chemical value of our bodies' ingredients. But God's love transforms us into a value equivalent to that of His own Son: "I will make a man more precious than fine gold; even a man than the golden wedge of Ophir" (Isaiah 13:12).

Doubtless you have known some example of human flotsam that has been transformed into a person of infinite worth. John Newton (1725-1807) was one. A godless seafarer who dealt in the African slave trade, he became a drunken wretch who fell victim to the people he tried to enslave. At length <code>agapé</code> touched his heart. He gave up his vile business, transformed into an honored messenger of glad tidings. Millions remember him for his hymn that discloses the "fine gold" that he became:

"Amazing grace! how sweet the sound That saved a wretch like me! I once was lost, but now am found; Was blind, but now I see.

Twas grace that taught my heart to fear, And grace my fears relieved; How precious did that grace appear, The hour I first believed."

D. Natural human love goes in search of God. All heathen religions are based on the idea of God's being as elusive as a cure for cancer. People imagine that God is playing hide-and-seek and has withdrawn Himself from human beings. Only special ones are wise or clever enough to discover where He is hiding. Millions go on long journeys to Mecca, Rome, Jerusalem, or other shrines, searching for Him. The ancient Greeks outdid all of us in building magnificent marble temples in which they felt they must seek for God.

Again, *agapé* proves to be the opposite. It is not humans seeking after God, but *God seeking after man:* "The Son of man is come to seek and to save

that which was lost" (Luke 19:10). The shepherd left his 99 sheep that were safe and risked his life to find the one that was lost; the woman lit a candle and searched her house until she found the one lost coin; the Spirit of God searched for the heart of the prodigal son and brought him home. There is no story in all the Bible of a lost sheep required to find his shepherd!

Paul was obsessed with this great idea: "The righteousness based on faith says, Do not say in your heart, 'Who will ascend into heaven?' (that is, to bring Christ down) or 'Who will descend into the abyss?' (that is, to bring Christ up from the dead). But what does it say? The word is near you, on your lips and in your heart (that is, the word of faith which we preach)" (Romans 10:6-8, RSV).

That "word of faith" is as closely related to <code>agapé</code> as a typeface is to its matrix. Faith is the response of a contrite human heart to this tremendous revelation of <code>agapé</code>; and Paul's point is that this tremendous "word is near you." It is the evidence that God has already sought you out where you have been hiding. The Good Shepherd is always on safari looking for us.

E. Our human love is always seeking to climb up higher. Every first-grader wants to enter the second grade; a child who is 11 says he will soon be 12. No job seeker wants demotion instead of promotion. The State politician longs to get into the national game, and probably every national senator at some time dreams that he might make it to the White House.

Who has ever heard of a national president voluntarily resigning in order to become a village servant? Plato's idea of love could never imagine such a thing. Neither can we!

What sobered the ancient world was sight of Someone higher than a president stepping down lower and lower, until He submitted to the torture-racked death of a criminal. In what is probably an outline of Paul's favorite message, we can trace in Philippians 2:5-8 seven distinct downward steps that Christ took in showing us what $agap\acute{e}$ is:

"Though He was in the form of God, He did not count equality with God a thing to be grasped." When we get into high positions in politics, business, or even the church, it is our nature to worry about falling. "Uneasy lies the head that wears a crown." But the Son of God abdicates his crown, voluntarily, motivated by <code>agapé</code>.

He "emptied Himself," or "made Himself of no reputation" (KJV). We humans will fight to the death to maintain our honor or our reputation. And daring deeds of valor are not always the same as emptying oneself as Christ did, for one can give his "body to be burned" and yet lack $agap\acute{e}$. When Paul says Christ "emptied Himself," he was talking about a voluntary surrender for eternity of everything held dear, something quite impossible apart from $agap\acute{e}$.

He took "the form of a servant [slave]." Can you imagine a more dismal life than always being forced to work without wages or thanks? Angels are said to be servants, "ministering spirits" sent to wait on us (Hebrews 1:14); if the Son of God had become like one of them, that would have been a great condescension on His part, for He was their Commander. But He stepped still lower:

He was "born in the likeness of men," "lower than the angels" (see Psalm 8:5, KJV). Not the sun-crowned, majestic splendor that Genesis says Adam was created in, but the degraded level of fallen man in the abysmal human debasement common to the Greco-Roman world. No human being has ever fallen so low but that the Son of God has come far enough to reach him or her. And once let that <code>agapé</code> steal its way into our hearts, all lingering traces of any holier-than-thou spirit melt away before it, and we also find it possible to reach the hearts of others.

"And being found in human form, He humbled Himself." In other words, He was not born with a "silver spoon" in His mouth, in either Caesar's or Herod's palace. His mother had Him in a stinky cattle shed, forced to wrap her little one in rags and lay Him in a donkey's feed box. His became the life of a toiling peasant. But this was not enough:

He "became obedient unto death." This pregnant phrase means something different from the suicide's mad leap in the dark. No suicide is ever "obedient unto death." If he were, he or she would stay by and face what is reality. The suicide is disobedient. The kind of death Christ was "obedient" to was not an escape from responsibility. It was not like Socrates drinking his hemlock. It was a going to hell: the living, conscious condemnation of every cell of one's being, all under the assumed or understood frown of God. The seventh step He took in condescension makes it clear:

"Even the death on a cross." In Jesus' day, a death on the cross was the most humiliating and hopeless possible. Not only was it the cruelest ever invented, not only the most shameful—being strung up naked before the taunting mob who watched your agony with glee. Death on a cross carried a built-in horror deeper than all that. It meant that Heaven cursed you.

The respected ancient writer Moses had declared that anyone who dies on a tree is "accursed by God" Deuteronomy 21:23). And everybody believed it, of course. If a condemned criminal was sentenced to be slain with a sword or even burned alive, he could still pray and trust that God would forgive him and look kindly on him. He could feel some support in his death.

But if the judge said, "You must die on a tree," all hope was gone.

Everybody expected that God had turned His back on the wretch forever. This is why Paul says that Christ was "made a curse for us: for it is written, Cursed is everyone that hangeth on a tree" (Galatians 3:13, KJV). The kind of death Christ died was that of the lost who must perish at last in hopeless despair, what Revelation calls "the second death." Of course it was a million times worse for Christ to endure than it will be for them because His sensitivity to the suffering was infinitely greater than for any of us.

Imagine a crucified man on a cross. ... Crowds come to jeer at him as today we flock to a ball game. Like an old, wrecked car that children throw rocks at, he is a human write-off, abandoned to be mocked and abused in horror unspeakable. You must not feel or express pity or sympathy for him, for if you do you show that you disagree with God's judgment of him! You are on God's side if you throw rotten eggs or tomatoes at him. So people thought.

This was the death that Jesus became "obedient" to. In His despair He cried out, "My God, My God, why hast Thou forsaken Me?" (Matthew 27:46). Be quiet and reverent as you think about it. You and I are the ones who would have had to go through that if He had not taken our place.

This idea of *agapé* has been dying out among many professed followers of Christ because a pagan notion has subtly infiltrated their thinking. I refer to the doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul.

If there is no such thing as real death, then Christ did not really die. If He went to Paradise the day He was on the cross (as many mistakenly believe from reading a misplaced comma in Luke 23:43), then there was no true emptying of Himself, no true death on the cross, no dying the equivalent of the second death, which is the real thing.

The doctrine of the natural immortality of the soul makes Christ's sacrifice out to be a sham, a pretended stage play of enduring the wrath of God for sinners when in fact He was sustained throughout by confidence of reward. But when the darkness overtook Him on Calvary, the light of His Father's face was in reality completely withdrawn. His cry "Why hast thou forsaken me?" was no actor's wail. Isaiah was right: "He hath poured out his soul unto death" (Isaiah 53:12), even "the second death" (Revelation 2:11).

The infiltration of this false idea from ancient paganism began soon after the apostles' time, for Jesus warns the first of the seven symbolic churches of Revelation: "Thou hast left thy first love [agapé]" (verse 4). When God's enemy saw the power packed in that idea of love, he led the early church into apostasy on that essential point. We can document, step by step, the progressive abandonment of the idea of agapé by the Church Fathers. Augustine worked out a synthesis of agapé and self-centered love that became the foundation of medieval Catholicism. Luther tried to restore agapé to its right place, but sad to say, his followers returned to the doctrine of natural immortality, and again agapé nearly died out. The world is now ripe for its arrival.

By now we can probably begin to sense the gulf that separates human love from $agap\acute{e}$. Unless enriched with $agap\acute{e}$, human love is really disguised selfishness. Even parental love can be a mere seeking our own.

Our present epidemic of marital infidelity is evidence enough of the self-centered aspect of sexual love. Often friends' love for each other is based on egocentric motivations. In contrast, $agap\acute{e}$ "seeketh not her own" and "never faileth" (1 Corinthians 13:5, 8).

Having said all this, one additional contrast between human love and God's love remains— *Natural human love desires the reward of immortality: agapé dares to relinquish it.* This was what overturned all the value systems of antiquity!

God has not written an encyclopedia article for us with a systematic exposition of agapé. He instead sent His Son to die on a cross so we can see that love. The true meaning of that sacrifice is that it is infinite, complete, and eternal. Christ went to the grave for us, not because He deserved it, but because we did. In those last few hours as He hung there in the darkness, Christ drained the cup of human woe to its dregs. The bright sunshine in which He had walked while on earth was gone. All thought of reward to come fled His mind. He could not see through to the other side of the dark and awful grave that gaped before Him. God is agapé, and Christ is God, and there He is—dying the death we deserve. (The fact that the Father called Him back to life the third day in no way lessens the reality of His commitment on the cross in our behalf!)

Now we come to something disturbing. It's not enough for us to say, "Fine, glad He went through that; but you mean I must learn to love with agapé? Impossible!"

We sinful, self-centered mortals can learn to love with *agapé*, for John said: "Love [agapé] is of God, and he who loves [with agapé] is born of God and knows God. He who does not love [with agapé] does not know God; for God is love [agapé]" (1 John 4:7,8 RSV).

Moses is a prime example of one who learned it.

The Lord gave him a test one day. Israel had broken their covenant by worshiping a golden calf, and He proposed to Moses that He wipe them out with a divine "H-bomb," and start from scratch with a new people—Moses' descendants. Moses got the idea that Israel's sin was too great this time to be forgiven. The temptation to take the place of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob was a very real one. He saw himself as facing a justifiably angry God who liked him, but had had enough of Israel. It seemed vain for Moses to beg for Israel's forgiveness. So what did he do? Accept the proffered honor, and let Israel go down the drain?

Moses was torn to his depths. He never cried so much in his life. Listen, as in broken sobs this mortal like ourselves tries to change God's mind:

"Oh, this people have sinned a great sin, they have made them gods of gold. Yet now, if thou wilt forgive their sin—" Here Moses breaks down; he can't finish the sentence. (This is the only dash in the entire King James Version!) He glimpses the horror of an eternal hell stretching before him

if he shares Israel's fate. But he makes up his mind. He chooses to be lost with them: "... and if not, blot me, I pray thee, out of thy book which thou hast written" (Exodus 32:31, 32).

Moses stood the test. I can imagine the Lord throwing His arms of love around His weeping servant—He had found a man after His own heart.

Paul had found that same *agapé* in his heart, for he also wished himself accursed from Christ for the sake of his lost people (Romans 9:1-3). Everyone who sees the cross as it truly is, and believes, finds the miracle of *agapé* reproduced in his own heart. This is how the world will be turned upside down again, "for the love [agapé] of Christ constraineth us" that we "should not henceforth live unto [ourselves], but unto him which died for [us], and rose again" (2 Corinthians 5:14, 15).

We miss the point of the New Testament if we miss agapé in it. We also stay in the dark about what faith is, for New Testament faith is a human heart-appreciation of the "breadth, and length and depth, and height? of the agapé of Christ (Ephesians 3:18,19). There can be no justification of righteousness by faith without a true heart appreciation of it!

As the apostles fanned out telling the story, the cross became the world's moment of truth. In that lightning flash of revelation, every man saw himself judged. The cross became the final definition of love; and that's why that word *agapé* turned the world upside down. Let it turn your life upside down!

How could one word transform
millions of people around the world
into persons willing to die for their convictions,
and other millions
into bloodthirsty persecutors anxious to eradicate
those who believe in the power of this word?

*The Scripture quotations marked RSV are from the Revised Standard Version of the Bible, copyrighted © 1946, 1952, 1971, 1973.

(Reprinted from *These Times*, September, 1982.)

Robert J. Wieland, author of numerous books, was Seventh-day Adventist all-Africa editorial consultant and lived in Nairobi, Kenya, when he wrote this article. Copyright © 1982 by Robert J. Wieland

Republished with permission in this digital format by CFI, 2009 www.cherokeefarms.com

